Nonprehensile Robotic Manipulation: Progress and Prospects

Kevin M. Lynch

Laboratory for Intelligent Mechanical Systems Mechanical Engineering Department and Northwestern Institute on Complex Systems (NICO) Northwestern University

SpongFest 2012

Major contributors to this talk:

Tom Vose and Paul Umbanhowar

Other contributing colleagues, students, and postdocs: Adam Barber, Matt Elwin, Bobby Gregg, Yu-Wei Liao, Andy Long, Matt Mason, Nelson Rosa, Ji-Chul Ryu, Eric Schearer, James Solberg Funding:

National Science Foundation Office of Naval Research National Institutes of Health

Nonprehensile Robotic Manipulation: Progress and Prospects

Kevin M. Lynch

Laboratory for Intelligent Mechanical Systems Mechanical Engineering Department and Northwestern Institute on Complex Systems (NICO) Northwestern University

International Workshop on Recent Developments in Robotics and Control?

Major contributors to this talk:

Tom Vose and Paul Umbanhowar

Other contributing colleagues, students, and postdocs: Adam Barber, Matt Elwin, Bobby Gregg, Yu-Wei Liao, Andy Long, Matt Mason, Nelson Rosa, Ji-Chul Ryu, Eric Schearer, James Solberg Funding:

National Science Foundation Office of Naval Research National Institutes of Health

Nonprehensile Manipulation



hand controls ball: prehensile shared control: nonprehensile environment controls ball

form or force closure grasping

nonprehensile manipulation



throwing and batting (U Tokyo)





rolling (Michael Moschen)





dung beetle (Nat'l Geo)

bat juggling





dribbling (TU Munich)



vibratory feeding (Sony)



Why Nonprehensile Manipulation?

After all, grasp and carry is "easy" (once a grasp is established); decouples grasp planning and kinematic motion planning.

Why Nonprehensile Manipulation?

- Manipulate objects too large or heavy to be grasped
- Manipulate several objects simultaneously
- Given a task, use cheaper, lower-DOF robots (automation)
- Given a robot, increase the set of solvable tasks
- Most manipulation is nonprehensile!

Research Topics

- sensing/observability/uncertainty
- contact modeling and mechanics
- motion planning
- feedback control
- understanding what tasks are solvable (e.g., reachable sets, controllability)

Carnegie Mellon, ca. 1994

mechanics, controllability, and planning for pushing



Controllability of a Rigid Body through Unilateral Contact

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTOMATIC CONTROL, VOL. 44, NO. 6, JUNE 1999

Controllability of a Planar Body with Unilateral Thrusters

Kevin M. Lynch



Northwestern, ca. 1998

feedback stabilization of control-recurrent systems "juggling"



Controllability of a Rigid Body through Unilateral Contact



Systems & Control Letters 42 (2001) 333-345



www.elsevier.com/locate/sysconle

Impact controllability of an air hockey puck[☆]

Mark W. Spong*

Coordinated Science Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1308 W. Main Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Received 15 April 2000; received in revised form 19 October 2000

UIUC, ca. 1999

Bishop and Spong



a few nice things Mark's done for me

- research inspiration
- invited me to my first Allerton conference
- hooked me up with Francesco
- my first trip to Mexico City, CCA 2001
- letters (tenure, IEEE Fellow, etc.)

a few nice things Mark's done for me

- research inspiration
- invited me to my first Allerton conference
- hooked me up with Francesco
- my first trip to Mexico City, CCA 2001
- letters (tenure, IEEE Fellow, etc.)
- sent Bobby Gregg my way!



Carnegie Mellon, ca. 1995

underactuated hybrid dynamic manipulation one actuator, three part DOF



start



goal

Underactuated Hybrid Manipulation





"grasp" + roll + free flight

1/4 speed





roll + "grasp" + free flight



Sequencing Primitives

Manipulation consists of a sequence of primitives:

- grasping
- rolling
- manipulator transit motions (no contact)
- pushing
- pivoting
- toppling
- caging
- etc.

Each primitive is described by different contacts and equations of motion.

A sequence of primitives defines a *hybrid system*.



5-D state-control space $(y, \dot{y}, z, \dot{z}, u)$





5-D state-control spa $(y, \dot{y}, z, \dot{z}, u)$





graph representing the topology of the hybrid system

Hybrid Sequence Planning

- 3 part positions
- + 3 part velocities
- + 1 arm position
- + 1 arm velocity
- + 1 arm control

9-dimensional state-control space

The 9-D space is carved into regions corresponding to primitives, creating a graph whose nodes are primitives and edges are jump conditions.





goa

contact mode primitives:

sliding (vibration)



dynamic grasp



one-point rolling

free flight

Hybrid Sequence Planning

- 1. Choose a sequence of primitives and find the state-control jump conditions between them. Jumps occur at contact transitions (established/broken, sticking/sliding).
- 2. Find controls within each primitive between jump conditions.



Hybrid Sequence Planning

- 1. Choose a sequence of primitives and find the state-control jump conditions between them. Jumps occur at contact transitions (established/broken, sticking/sliding).
- 2. Find controls within each primitive between jump conditions.



Some Simpsons-Inspired Research



More Simpsons-Inspired Research



More Simpsons-Inspired Research



Programmable Motion Surfaces



a sequence of force fields for sensorless orienting a force field for single-step positioning and orienting

Bohringer, Donald, MacDonald, Kavraki, Lamiraux, Goldberg

Motion Surface Implementation



MEMS actuator arrays Bohringer, Donald, MacDonald



air flow Luntz, Moon, Laurent



2-DOF pizza manipulation Higashimori and Kaneko



rolling wheels Luntz, Messner, Choset, Murphey, Burdick



3-DOF horizontally vibrating table Reznik and Canny

Industrial Vibratory Feeder





15 Hz vibration1/20 speed



$$f_{\text{fric}} = \mu f_{\text{normal}} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}}{\|\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}\|}$$





bang-bang vertical and horizontal acceleration







bang-bang vertical and horizontal acceleration







bang-bang vertical and horizontal acceleration







bang-bang vertical and horizontal acceleration



The 6-DOF PPOD (Programmable Parts Orienting Device)



flexure-based Stewart platform





The 6-DOF PPOD (Programmable Parts Orienting Device)



flexure-based Stewart platform




The 6-DOF PPOD (Programmable Parts Orienting Device)



The 6-DOF PPOD (Programmable Parts Orienting Device)



Part Dynamics



$$f_{\text{fric}} = \mu f_{\text{normal}} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}}{\|\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}\|}$$

- \dot{p}_x , \dot{p}_y , ω_z : horizontal velocity determines friction force direction
- $\ddot{p}_z, \alpha_x, \alpha_y$: vertical acceleration determines friction force magnitude
- 6-DOF motion allows position-dependent fields with nonzero divergence

Asymptotic Behavior



top view of plate (positions)



$$f_{\text{fric}} = \mu f_{\text{normal}} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}}{\|\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}\|}$$

plate and part horizontal velocities at A

Asymptotic Velocity



Asymptotic velocity at (*x*,*y*):

$$\mathbf{v}(x,y) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}'(t) dt$$

where $\mathbf{v}'(t)$ is the limit cycle.

Asymptotic Velocity



Asymptotic velocity at (x,y):

$$\mathbf{v}(x,y) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}'(t) dt$$

where $\mathbf{v}'(t)$ is the limit cycle.

asymptotic velocity vector at A

Asymptotic Velocity



Asymptotic velocity at (x,y):

$$\mathbf{v}(x,y) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{v}'(t) dt$$

where $\mathbf{v}'(t)$ is the limit cycle.



asymptotic velocity vectors at all points: *asymptotic velocity field* (not a force field)

Which Velocity Fields Are Possible?



Single Frequency "Basis" Fields



Whirlpool







(g) Whirlpool



 $\begin{array}{l} \ddot{p}_{x} = 10\sin(60\pi t) \\ \ddot{p}_{y} = 10\sin(60\pi t + \frac{1}{2}\pi) \\ \alpha_{x} = 100\sin(60\pi t + \frac{3}{2}\pi) \\ \alpha_{y} = 100\sin(60\pi t) \end{array}$

 $\mathbf{v}_{a} pprox \left[egin{array}{c} -0.22x+0.36y \\ -0.36x-0.22y \end{array}
ight]$

Extension: 3D Velocity Field





a sink field that uniquely positions and orients a part, a la MEMS programmable vector fields (Bohringer and Donald, Lamiraux and Kavraki)

Extension: Generalized Friction



limit curve (LC) of possible friction forces at the specified normal force



u sliding direction of support plane*f* force applied by support plane



limit curve (LC) of possible friction forces at the specified normal force



 $LC \begin{pmatrix} u & for \\ f_y & f \\ f & f_x \end{pmatrix}$

force and velocity satisfy normality at LC due to the maximum power inequality (Goyal, Ruina, Papadopoulos)

Linear Conveyance



velocity field



X



X

Extension: Generalized Friction



surface mount capacitor on textured surface

side view



approximate anisotropic friction limit curve



Mitani, Sugano, and Hirai 2006

Example: Corduroy Fabric

Overhead view of plate





Morphing Velocity Fields



anisotropy adds nonlinear bias

Challenges

programmable motion surfaces

- texture design for desired anisotropic friction
- self-assembly
- impact for 6 DOF manipulation
- integration into flexible manufacturing cells

hybrid nonprehensile manipulation

- state estimation: integrating far-field (vision, depth), near-field (electrosense, capacitive), and contact (tactile) data in real time
- library of primitives: motion planning and control
- automatic sequence planning with uncertainty
- estimating reachable sets

unified approach to dynamic manipulation and locomotion

Parkour





ParkourBot with Degani, Feng, Long, Brown, Choset and Mason



Congratulations Mark! (and Matt!)



parkour, dynamic locomotion





robot manipulation



restoration of function to paralyzed subjects by functional electrical stimulation



bio-inspired sensing: electrosense



self-organizing swarms of mobile sensors